

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Attorney Docket No. 01-1021 3COM Docket No. 3591.WSD.US.P

| In re t | he Application of: |) | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | David John Maxwell et al. |) } } Evamir | ner: Phillip S. Scuderi |
| Serial No. 09/934,984 | |) | mor, i minp or ocutor. |
| | , |) Group | Art Unit: 2153 |
| Filed: | August 22, 2001 |) | |
| | |) Confiri | mation No.: 8270 |
| For: | APPARATUS, METHOD, AND |) | |
| | COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR |) | |
| | DETECTION OF SERVER-LIKE |) | |
| | DEVICES ON A COMPUTER |) | |
| | NETWORK BASED ON INGRESS |) | |
| | TO EGRESS NETWORK TRAFFIC |) | |
| | RATIOS |) | |

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In regard to the above identified application,

- 1. I am transmitting herewith the attached:
 - a) Issue Fee Transmittal PTOL-85 (Part B);
 - b) Supplemental Application Data Sheet;
 - c) Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance;
 - d) Check for \$1,700.00; and
 - e) Return receipt postcard.
- 2. With respect to fees:
 - a) A check for \$1,700.00 to pay the Issue and Publication Fees is enclosed.
 - b) Please charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account, No. 13-2490.

3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 5, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert and Berghoff LLP

Date: January 5, 2006

David L. Ciesielski

Reg. No. 57,432



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 01-1021 3COM Docket No. 3591.WSD.US.P

| In re t | he Application of: |) |
|---------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | David John Maxwell et al. |) |
| | |) Examiner: Phillip S. Scuderi |
| Serial | No. 09/934,984 |) |
| | • |) Group Art Unit: 2153 |
| Filed: | August 22, 2001 |) |
| | _ |) Confirmation No.: 8270 |
| For: | APPARATUS, METHOD, AND |) |
| | COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR |) |
| | DETECTION OF SERVER-LIKE |) |
| | DEVICES ON A COMPUTER |) |
| | NETWORK BASED ON INGRESS |) |
| | TO EGRESS NETWORK TRAFFIC |) |
| | RATIOS |) |

COMMENTS ON STATEMENTS OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowance mailed October 25, 2005, the Applicant expresses appreciation for the allowance of the present application. The Applicant notes the Examiner's reasons for allowance (i.e., Allowable Subject Matter), but respectfully submits that the reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims. In light of the original disclosure, previous Office Actions and responses, however, the Applicant believes that the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear.

In the reasons for allowance, the Examiner seems to have paraphrased some of the Applicant's claims. The Applicant understands, however, that the Examiner has found the invention as claimed in claims 1-3, 5-10, 12, 14-16, and 18-23 to patentably distinguish over the art of record.

Therefore, to the extent any paraphrasing of the claims diverges from the literal or equivalent meaning of the claims as allowed, the Applicant does not acquiesce in the paraphrasing of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert and Berghoff LLP

Date: January 5, 2006

David L. Ciesielski Reg. No. 57,432